**Evaluation Form**

Reviewers are encouraged to read carefully the "Review guidelines" and "Author guidelines" sections in our webpage (jgsb.cprm.gov.br)

By default, the name of the reviewers will not be disclosed. If you want to reveal your identity, please sign/write your name at the end of the report.

**1 - The subject of the article is compatible with the scope of the JGSB**

**\***

*  Agree
*  Disagree

**2 - The article is original**

**\***

*  Agree
*  Disagree
*  Neutral / Not applicable

**3 - If not original (e.g., review article), is it worth of publication, i.e., is the review/synthesis relevant?**

**\***

*  Agree
*  Disagree
*  Not applicable

**4 - The abstract is factual and informative, and stands alone if read separately from the main text**

**\***

*  Agree
*  Disagree
*  Neutral

**5 - The title reflects the contents of the manuscript**

**\***

*  Agree
*  Disagree

**6 - The objectives of the research/work are stated clearly**

**\***

*  Agree
*  Disagree
*  Neutral

**7 - The manuscript is appropriately organized**

**\***

*  Agree
*  Disagree
*  Neutral

**8 - The conclusions are supported by the data**

**\***

*  Agree
*  Disagree
*  Neutral






**9 - Figures and Tables correctly illustrate the text**

**\***

*  Agree
*  Disagree
*  Neutral / Not applicable

**Recommendation**

**\***

*  Accept in the present form
*  Minor revisions
*  Major revisions
*  Resubmit for review
*  Reject

**Blind comments to the Editor**(these will not be transmitted to authors)

**\***



**Review report** (you can copy and paste your comments here or attach/upload them in a separate file)